

Effects of 3D Printing Build Parameters on Mechanical Properties of Dental Models Using DLP and SLA Technology

Daniel Gonzalez¹, Jonathan Piceno¹, Vitalii Dubytskyi¹, and Ehsan Barjasteh², Ph.D. ¹Department of Biomedical Engineering, ²Department of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering and Chemical Engineering

INTRODUCTION:

- ◆ 3D printing has recently been applied to dentistry to reduce the time of manufacturing crowns, surgical guides, and night guards.
- Dental models must tolerate the stress during surgery, overnight use, and long term use.
- ◆ The building parameters can affect the mechanical properties of 3D printed dental models thus this study aims to investigate two common printing methods in digital dentistry: digital light processing (DLP) and stereolithography (SLA).

Printing



- 1. Material: Dental Model (SprintRay)
- 2. Model: ASTM D790 (Flex) & ASTM D638-14 V (Tensile)
- 3. Layer Thickness: 20 µm,50 µm,100 µm



- 1. Material: Diacrylate monomer, BAPO photoinitiator, Mayzo OB+ photoabsoriber
- 2. Model: 50 x 5 x 0.3 (L x W x H) mm rectangular CAD model
- 3. Laver Thickness: 100 µm



1. Resin mixing (1 minute)

2. 3D Printer: MoonRay

- 1. Resin mixing (10 minutes)
- 2. 3D printer: FormsLab 2
- 3. Stereolithography (405 nm)

Post Curing



1. UV Light Curing (30 minutes, 30°C) 2. CUREbox (Wicked Engineering) 3. Wavelength: 405 nm



1. UV Light Curing (30 minutes, 30°C) 2. CUREbox (Wicked Engineering) 3. Wavelength: 405 nm



- 1. Universal Testing Machine
- (Shimadzu) . Span
- Length: 16:1 mm Speed: 1
- mm/min

Experimental Analyses

- - Tensile Test Universal Testing Machine (Shimadzu) 2. Load Cell: 1 kN
 - 3. Speed: 7 mm/min









CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY LONG BEACH



CONCLUSION/FUTURE WORK:

- Smaller layer thickness yields stronger flexural properties.
- Parts made by DLP exhibit anisotropic flexural properties.
- Similar strength is observed in tensile properties regardless of printing orientation.
- Post curing increases the flexural strength and tensile strength of the model regardless of printing orientation.
- For future work dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) will be performed to characterize crosslink density as a possible explanation for the anisotropic properties observed.

REFERENCES:

- [1] J. W. Stansbury and M. J. Idacavage, "3D printing with polymers: Challenges among expanding options and opportunities," Dent. Mater., vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 54–64, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.dental.2015.09.018.
- [2] J. Jockusch and M. Özcan, "Additive manufacturing of dental polymers: An overview on processes, materials and applications," Dent. Mater. J., 2020, doi: 10.4012/dmj.2019-123.
- [3] K. V. Wong and A. Hernandez, "A Review of Additive Manufacturing," ISRN Mech. Eng., vol. 2012, pp. 1–10, 2012, doi: 10.5402/2012/208760.
- [4] E. Aznarte, C. Ayranci, and A. J. Qureshi, "DIGITAL LIGHT PROCESSING (DLP): ANISOTROPIC TENSILE CONSIDERATIONS," p. 13.
- [5] M. Monzón, Z. Ortega, A. Hernández, R. Paz, and F. Ortega, "Anisotropy of Photopolymer Parts Made by Digital Light Processing," *Materials*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 64, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.3390/ma10010064.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

- This research was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award Numbers; UL1GM118979; TL4GM118980; RL5GM118978. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.
- The author would like to give thanks to: Ethel Martinez, Jonathan Piceno, Vitalii Dubytskyi, and Jack Denk for their contribution